

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte MICHAEL M. THACKERAY,
ROSALIND J. GUMMOW
and
ERNEST E. FERG

Appeal No. 1998-2269
Application 08/206,792

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

MAILED

SEP 24 2001

**PAT. & T.M. OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

On September 10, 2001, applicants filed a Supplemental Reply Brief (Paper No. 21). The record does not contain a response from the examiner stating whether the Reply Brief has been entered, and if entered, what effect the Reply Brief has on the pending rejections. See Section 1208.03 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (7th Ed., Rev. 1, Feb. 2000), which states:

The primary examiner must then either: (A) acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief by using form paragraph 12.47 on form PTOL-90; or (B) reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief. See MPEP § 1208.02.

Appeal No. 1998-2269
Application No. 08/206,792

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the examiner for proper response to the Supplemental Reply Brief and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

BY:



KIMBERLY JORDAN
Program and Resource Administrator
(703) 308-9797

KJ:svt

Appeal No. 1998-2269
Application No. 08/206,792

Mark B. Wilson
Fulbright & Jaworski
600 Congress Avenue
Suite 2400
Austin, TX 78701